Flux locking a superfluid interferometer
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The authors demonstrate a flux locking technique using injected heat current to linearize the output of a superfluid helium-4 interferometer. A rotation flux through the interferometer loop produces a shift in the phase of the superfluid order parameter. This shift is nullified via negative feedback by a phase shift caused by the injected heat current. The feedback signal is then a linear function of rotation flux. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2772659]

Interferometers are widely used in basic and applied sciences. These instruments using sound, light, or de Broglie matter waves typically have a transfer function wherein the output amplitude [e.g., the Josephson critical current in a dc superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID)] is a cosinusoidally varying function of some variable of interest (magnetic flux in the case of the SQUID). To achieve widespread practical utility, it is very useful to have some method to linearize the instrument’s response. We report here a method by which this can be achieved for a superfluid 4He quantum interference device (SHeQUID), a superfluid analog of a superconducting dc SQUID.

The superfluid state in 4He is described by a macroscopic order parameter written as $\psi = |\psi| e^{i\phi}$, where $\phi$ is the quantum phase. A SHeQUID [see Fig. 1(a)] consists of two “weak links” (marked X) placed in a loop filled with superfluid 4He that is hydraulically coupled to a flexible diaphragm ($D$). A weak link here consists of (nominally) 30 nm diameter apertures spaced 3 $\mu$m apart in a 100 $\times$ 100 square lattice on a 60 nm thick silicon nitride membrane. The superfluid within these arrays oscillates at the Josephson frequency ($\omega_J = 2 \pi f_J = \Delta \mu / \hbar$) when a chemical potential difference $\Delta \mu$ is applied across them. The chemical potential difference is applied electrically by using a rotating diaphragm ($D$) with the electrode ($E$). The diaphragm serves as the input element of a sensitive displacement sensor, which detects the oscillations. The heater ($R$) and sink ($S$) are used to inject a heat current into the top arm, thus producing a superfluid counterflow, which corresponds to a phase drop ($\Delta \phi_{\text{heat}}$) between the ends of the tube.

Depending on the temperature, the weak links oscillate either as $\sin \phi$ Josephson weak links or coherent phase slip centers. In either case, each of the weak links emits a strong Fourier component at frequency $f_J$. Let the amplitudes of these first harmonics in the two arrays be represented as $I_{0,1}$ and $I_{0,2}$. The superposition of the two oscillations detected by the microphone can be written as $I_{\text{total}} = I_1 \sin[\omega_J t + \delta]$, where the interference amplitude is given by

$$I_1 = I_0 \mathrm{cos}^2 \theta + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2} = I_0 F_\gamma(\theta).$$

Here $I_0 = I_{0,1} + I_{0,2}$, $\theta = |\Delta \phi_{1} - \Delta \phi_{2}| / 2$ is half the difference in the phase drops of the two oscillators, and $F_\gamma(\theta)$ is a dimensionless nonlinear periodic function in $\theta$ with an asymmetry parameter defined as $\gamma = (I_{0,1} - I_{0,2}) / (I_{0,1} + I_{0,2})$.

Single valuedness of the order parameter demands that $\int_0^l \nabla \phi \cdot dl = 2 \pi n$ for integer $n$ (where the phase integral goes around the interferometer loop). When no currents flow in the interferometer, there are no phase gradients and $\int_0^l \nabla \phi \cdot dl = 0$. This phase integral condition is maintained even if an external influence induces flow in the sense loop as long as flow velocities remain sufficiently low (i.e., below the velocity to create quantum vortices so that $n$ remains 0). If $\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}}$ is the shift in the phase of the macroscopic wave function created by some external influence and $\Delta \phi_{\text{heat}}$ is the phase shift due to a heat current in the top arm, the circulation quantization condition allows us to write $\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{heat}} = 0$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. The phase differences across the remaining segments of the loop are made negligible (by design). Then, Eq. (1) becomes

$$I_1 = I_0 F_\gamma \left( \frac{\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{heat}}}{2} \right).$$

For example, in previous work, the external phase shifts were produced by the (steady) rotation field of the earth, which creates a rotation flux $\Omega \cdot \hat{A}$ in the SHeQUID ($\Omega$ is the angular velocity vector of the earth and $\hat{A}$ is the area vector of the interferometer loop). This rotation flux induces a so-called Sagnac phase shift $\phi_{\text{Sag}}$ given by

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Experimental apparatus. The inside is filled with superfluid 4He and the entire apparatus is immersed in a bath of liquid helium. (b) Equivalent SQUID circuit. $\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}}$ is the phase shift produced by some (possibly globally acting) external influence, which the SHeQUID is being used to measure. $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are the phase differences across the two weak links and $\phi_{\text{heat}}$ is the phase shift due to injected heater power $R$.](image-url)
retrograde rotation of the loop to cancel the rotation of interest and thereby measure the original rotation stimulus. This is clearly an awkward mechanical solution. Rather, we seek a more convenient phase shifting influence, which can be easily applied to the interferometer loop in order to implement this negative feedback scheme.

Figure 1(a) displays our solution to the problem. We show an interferometer loop in which one arm is a straight tube [of interior length $\ell=2.5\pm0.05$ cm and circular cross-sectional area $\sigma=(3.78\pm0.04)\times10^{-2}$ cm$^2$] containing a heater ($R$) at one end. The other end of the tube terminates in a roughened copper disk heat exchanger ($S$) that is the dominant thermal path connecting the fluid in the interferometer with the surrounding temperature-stabilized bath. This tube is made of Stycast 1266 (insulating) to minimize the heat loss through the walls. When power $\dot{Q}$ is applied to the heater, the phase difference created across the tube’s ends because of the steady superfluid counterflow set up in the tube is given by

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{heat}} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{2 \pi m_4}{h} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_s} \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_T} \dot{Q},$$

where $\rho$, $\rho_n$, and $\rho_s$ are the total, normal, and superfluid densities, respectively, $s$ is the entropy per unit mass, and $T$ is the temperature in the cell.

As before, $\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}}$ in Fig. 1(b) is the Sagnac phase shift due to the earth. Equation (2) combined with Eqs. (3) and (4) for the phase shifts then becomes

$$I_t = I_0 F \left( a \Omega \cdot \vec{A} + b \dot{Q} \right),$$

where $a = 2 \pi m_4 \rho_T / h$ and $b = (l/\sigma) (\pi m_4 / h) (\rho_n / \rho_s / \rho_T T)$ are constants for a given temperature.

Any change in rotation flux can now be cancelled by injecting heater power to keep the argument of $F_t$ constant in Eq. (5). The interferometer can thus be maintained at fixed current amplitude and the flux is “locked.” Further, the amount of power needed for this purpose provides a linear measure of the change in rotation flux: $|\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}| = b Q / a$.

Figure 2(a) shows the signature sinusoidal interference pattern due to the reorientation of the SHeQUID loop about the vertical with no feedback applied. This is the previously mentioned Sagnac effect caused by the earth’s rotation. The vertical axis is proportional to the measured amplitude of Josephson oscillations in the SHeQUID. We vary the rotation flux $\Omega \cdot \vec{A}$ by changing the angle between the loop and the earth’s spin axis.

Figure 2(b) shows the same measured amplitude as in Fig. 2(a), this time with power applied to the heater thereby creating a phase change in the heater tube, which compensates for the rotation flux change. Within the noise level of the experiment, the SHeQUID current amplitude is now independent of rotation flux (i.e., $a \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A} + b \dot{Q} = \text{constant}$).

Figure 2(c) shows the heater power $\dot{Q}$ that is injected to maintain the current amplitude constant plotted against rotation flux $\Omega \cdot \vec{A}$. Within the noise level, it is seen that $\dot{Q} \propto \Omega \cdot \vec{A}$. The loop is now phase locked and the output is linearized. Using the calibration obtained from Fig. 2(c), incident rotation flux may be measured via this negative feedback mechanism. Similarly, using Eqs. (2) and (4), any
unknown phase-shifting influence $\Delta \phi_{\text{ext}}$ can be directly measured simply by monitoring the feedback heater power. In practice, this feedback scheme works for injected heater power values lower than that corresponding to 250 complete cycles in Fig. 2(a) (i.e., for phase shifts up to $250 \times 2\pi$). For heater power values greater than this limit (which varies with temperature but is about a few hundred microwatts), we observe a rapid onset of quantum turbulence, which renders the interferometer useless for measuring external influences. Noise spectra and drift considerations are discussed elsewhere.¹³,¹⁴

In conclusion, the flux locking method described here linearizes a SHEQUID so that this class of instrument can be used to monitor widely varying phase shifting influences such as rotation.
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⁷In practice, there always exist trapped vortices in the cell, which make $n$ nonzero. This merely adds a constant phase offset to the argument of $F_\text{r}$ in Eq. (2).